

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
For the Southern District of Iowa

In the Matter of

DONALD D. SPEARS,  
PHYLLIS M. SPEARS,  
Engaged in Farming,

Case No. 86-3019-C  
Chapter 11

Debtors.

ORDER ON MOTION TO PROHIBIT DEBTORS' USE OF CASH COLLATERAL

On April 8, 1987 a motion to prohibit debtors' use of cash collateral and request for adequate protection filed on behalf of Production Credit Association of the Midlands (PCA) on March 23, 1987 came on for hearing before this court in Des Moines, Iowa.<sup>1</sup> The debtors filed a resistance to the PCA's motion on April 2, 1987. Thomas H. Burke appeared on behalf of the PCA and Reta Noblett-Feld appeared on behalf of the debtors. At the close of the hearing the parties were given until May 1, 1987 to submit letter briefs on the legal issues presented. The debtors filed a letter brief on April 30, 1987 and requested an evidentiary hearing on a remaining question of fact. The PCA submitted a letter brief on May 1, 1987 and also requested a further evidentiary hearing. On-May 1, 1987 the matter was considered fully submitted.

---

<sup>1</sup> The court also heard a motion for authority to dismiss Chapter 11 case with permission to refile Chapter 12 filed on behalf of the debtors on March 5, 1987. The motion was resisted by the PCA and the Federal Land Bank of Omaha. A separate decision will be rendered on that matter at a later date.

For its motion to prohibit use of cash collateral, the PCA claims to be the holder of a secured claim in the amount of \$332,841.93. The PCA asserts a security interest in cash rent from real estate known as the "Norris Property" and in payments, by virtue of an assignment, under a real estate contract known as the "Knoller Contract." The PCA contends that the debtors use of any portion of the approximately \$59,000 received in payments will deplete the PCA's security. The PCA requests that its interest be adequately protected pursuant to 11 U.S.C. section 363(e) or that the debtors be required to segregate the cash payments in a separate interest-bearing account.

For their resistance to the PCA's motion, the debtors assert that the only interest PCA has in the "Norris Property" is a mortgage executed on April 27, 1984, rather than a lien on cash rents received under a lease. The debtors argue that since no foreclosure proceedings or request for appointment of receiver had been initiated prior to bankruptcy, the PCA has no interest in rents and profits received from the "Norris Property." With regard to the "Knoller Contract" the debtors contend that the assignment to the PCA, executed by Phyllis Spears on her own behalf and as "attorney in fact" for Donald Spears, was not sufficient to transfer Donald Spears' interest in the contract. The debtors do admit that the assignment operated to give a lien on Phyllis Spears' 30 percent interest in the contract and they have segregated 30 percent or

\$4,200.00 of the \$14,000.00 contract payment in a separate cash collateral account.

The court will first address the PCA's claimed interest in the rents received from the "Norris Property." The mortgage executed by the debtors to the PCA contained the following language:

In case of a foreclosure of this mortgage under any of its provisions, it is hereby agreed that on filing the petition for such foreclosure, or at any time thereafter, a receiver shall be appointed to take possession and charge of the mortgaged premises at once, and to hold possession of the same until the debt is fully paid and until the time of redemption expires, and all rents and profits derived from said premises shall be applied on the debt secured hereby.

This court must look to state law to determine whether and at what time a mortgagee has an interest in rents because it is only at that time that rents become "cash collateral." Matter of Village Properties, Ltd., 723 F.2d 441, 445 (5th Cir. 1984). Under Iowa law a mortgage pledge of rents and profits does not create a lien on the rents and profits until a foreclosure action is commenced and appointment of a receiver is requested. In re Winzenberg, 61 B.R. 141, 143 (Bankr. N.D. Iowa 1986); Andrew v. Haag, 215 Iowa 282, 245 N.W. 436, 439 (1932); see also, John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Linnan, 205 Iowa 176, 218 N.W. 46 (1928). In the instant case, no foreclosure action nor request for appointment of a receiver had been undertaken by the PCA prior

to the debtors' filing a petition in bankruptcy. The PCA relies upon In re Offerman Farms, Inc., 67 B.R. 279, 282 (Bankr. N.D. Iowa 1986) for the proposition that the PCA's right to file a foreclosure action and to seek appointment of a receiver creates an "interest" in the cash rent that would have been received if such action had been taken. The PCA's "but for" (the filing of bankruptcy) approach does not obviate the "only if" standard--under Iowa law the appointment of a receiver is not automatic, even when the mortgage contains a specific pledge of rents and profits clause. No interest in rents and profits is created until a foreclosure action is commenced and the appointment of a receiver is requested and granted. See Iowa Code sections 680.1 and 680.2; Kooistra v. Gibford, 201 Iowa 275, 207 N.W. 399, 399-400 (1926); Note, Mortgage Receiverships in Iowa, 27 Iowa L. Rev. 626 (1942). Accordingly, the rents received from the "Norris Property" are not cash collateral to which the PCA has an interest.<sup>2</sup>

With regard to the debtors' resistance to the PCA's asserted interest in payments received under the "Knoller Contract", the court finds that a separate evidentiary hearing regarding the circumstances of the assignment by Phyllis Spears to the PCA is warranted. Neither party has specifically briefed the legal issues surrounding the purported assignment by Mrs. Spears of Mr. Spears' interest in the contract, and the court does not have sufficient facts

---

<sup>2</sup> The PCA's argument that its security agreement gave it an interest in the lease proceeds must also fail. The Iowa Uniform Commercial Code specifically excludes application of Article 9 to leases and rents thereunder. See Iowa Code section 554.9104(j); In re Standard Conveyor Co., 773 F.2d 198, 204 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985); In re Winzenberg 61 B.R. at 142. the PCA has submitted no authority to counter this view.

upon which to base a ruling. Accordingly, this aspect of the PCA's motion to prohibit use of cash collateral must be continued.

THEREFORE, based on the foregoing analysis, the court overrules the PCA's motion to prohibit the debtors' use of rents received from the "Norris Property".

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the PCA's motion to prohibit the debtors' use of payments received under the "Knoller Contract" is continued pending an evidentiary hearing to be scheduled as the court's calendar permits.

Signed and filed this 30th day of June, 1987.

LEE M. JACKWIG

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CENTRAL DIVISION

FARM CREDIT SYSTEM CAPITAL  
CORP., agent for Production  
Credit Association of the  
Midlands  
Donald D. Spears, and  
Phyllis M. Spears.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury, The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

X Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the order of the United States Bankruptcy Court, dated June 30, 1987, is affirmed.

November 3, 1987

JAMES R. ROSENBAUM

Date

Clerk

(By) Deputy Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CENTRAL DIVISION

IN RE:

FARM CREDIT SYSTEM CAPITAL  
CORP., agent for Production  
Credit Association of the  
Midlands,

CIVIL NO. 87-569-A

Plaintiff,  
REVIEW

RULING ON PETITION FOR

VS.

DONALD D. SPEARS and  
PHYLLIS M. SPEARS,  
Defendants.

The court has now fully considered the plaintiff's petition for review of the order dated June 30, 1987, entered in the bankruptcy court proceedings by Honorable Lee M. Jackwig, and the briefs filed by the plaintiff and defendants on the issues plaintiff has raised.

This court agrees with the well-reasoned order of June 30, 1987, and finds no error in the court's conclusion that the plaintiff had no right to preclude defendants from using cash rents received from the so-called Norris Property. The bankruptcy court has carefully and correctly cited Iowa law pertinent to the rights of the debtors to use of the cash rents received under the circumstances in this case.

Consequently there is no basis for requiring the debtors to segregate the cash rents from the Norris Property, and the plaintiff had no right to have a further evidentiary hearing on the issues presented in this appeal.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the order of the United States Bankruptcy Court dated June 30, 1987, is affirmed.

Dated this -3 day of November, 1987.

CHARLES R. WOLLE, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT